



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/006,389	12/10/2001	Jean-Noel Thorel	108961.01	8418

7590 04/06/2009  
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC  
P.O. Box 19928  
Alexandria, VA 22320

EXAMINER
----------

HOFFMAN, SUSAN COE

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1655

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

04/06/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/006,389	<b>Applicant(s)</b> THOREL, JEAN-NOEL	
	<b>Examiner</b> Susan Coe Hoffman	<b>Art Unit</b> 1655	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Susan Coe Hoffman. (3) Matthew Barthalow.

(2) Channing Mahatan. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 02 April 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: all pending.

Identification of prior art discussed: of record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed proposed amendment (attached). The proposed amendment appears to overcome the rejections set forth at paragraphs 7 and 8 of the previous Office action. In regards to the rejection set forth at paragraph 9, the examiner will be considering applicant's arguments regarding why it would not be obvious to modify the amount of triglycerides taught in the reference to arrive at the percentages present in the proposed amendment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Susan Coe Hoffman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1655	
--	--